

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ROBERT JACKSON,

Petitioner,

vs.

WARDEN WILLIAMS, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02033-APG-NJK


**ORDER**

The court dismissed this action without prejudice to commencing a new action because petitioner did not pay the filing fee or file an application to proceed in forma pauperis. ECF No. 3. Instead of following instructions, petitioner has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 8) and a motion to reopen (ECF No. 9) in this action.<sup>1</sup> Petitioner should follow the court's instructions and commence a new action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 8) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to reopen (ECF No. 9) is **DENIED**.

Dated: November 30, 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ANDREW P. GORDON  
United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup>Petitioner states that the court has granted him leave to proceed in forma pauperis in case 2:16-cv-01878-APG-GWF. The docket for that case shows that the application to proceed in forma pauperis still is pending.